



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,141	12/18/2000	Hiroaki Kubo	15162/02970	4838

24367 7590 06/16/2004

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP
717 NORTH HARWOOD
SUITE 3400
DALLAS, TX 75201

EXAMINER

HANNETT, JAMES M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/739,141

Applicant(s)

KUBO, HIROAKI

Examiner

James M Hannett

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5, 6 and 8.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Digital camera with a plurality of media for recording captured images.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 1: Claims 1-4, 7-12, 17-20 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,153,730 Nagasaki et al.
- 2: As for Claim 1, Claim 1 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 2, Since Claim 2 is substantively equivalent to Claim 1.
- 3: In regards to Claim 2, Nagasaki et al depicts in Figure 1 and teaches on Column 4, Lines 20-35, Column 5, Lines 12-27, Column 7, Lines 16-26 a digital camera capable of loading media, comprising: a temporary memory (17) for storing a captured image generated in response to a photographing operation; a first slot capable of loading a first medium (35) for recording and storing said captured image; a second slot capable of loading a second medium (34) for recoding and storing said captured image; and a controller (12) for, when recording said captured image from said temporary memory (17) to said first medium (35) on the condition that said second

Art Unit: 2612

medium (34) has a higher recording speed than said first medium (35), recording said captured image once from said temporary memory (17) to said second medium (34) and then from said second medium (34) to said first medium (35). The three-state buffer (17) is viewed by the examiner as a temporary memory. Nagasaki et al teaches that the image captured by the image sensor is sent to the buffer memory (17) and is then sent to memory (34); which is viewed by the examiner as the second memory. Thereafter, the CPU transfers the image data from the second memory (34) to the first memory (35) over the BUS (18). Furthermore, Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 5, Lines 1-2 that the memory cards are detachable. Therefore, it is inherent that the memory cards are connected into slots.

4: As for Claim 3, Claim 3 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 4, Since Claim 4 is substantively equivalent to Claim 3.

5: In regards to Claim 4, Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 5-13 and Column 10, Lines 36-50 that the controller (14) is operable to perform a plurality of tasks in parallel and performs one of said plurality of tasks when recording said captured image from said second medium to said first medium. Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera can transfer the image memory from the second memory to the first memory over the BUS (18). Furthermore, the control circuitry that performs signal processing and data compression can be operated simultaneously in order to increase the speed of the digital camera. Therefore, it is viewed that the camera can perform a plurality of tasks in parallel while transferring the image data from one memory to the other.

6: As for Claim 7, Claim 7 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 8, Since Claim 8 is substantively equivalent to Claim 7.

Art Unit: 2612

7: In regards to Claim 8, Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 2, Lines 59-64 and Column 6, Lines 5-13 that when the second medium (34) has enough free space for recording said captured image stored in said temporary memory (17), said controller (14) controls recording processing so that said captured image is recorded once from said temporary memory (17) to said second medium (34) and then from said second medium (34) to said first medium (35).

8: As for Claim 9, Claim 9 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 10, Since Claim 10 is substantively equivalent to Claim 9.

9: In regards to Claim 10, Nagasaki et al depicts in Figure 1 and teaches on Column 4, Lines 20-35, Column 5, Lines 12-27, Column 7, Lines 16-26 a digital camera capable of loading media (34 and 35), comprising: a plurality of slots capable of loading a plurality of media (34 and 35) for recording and storing a captured image generated in response to a photographing operation; and a controller (14) capable of performing a plurality of tasks in parallel. Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 5-13 and Column 10, Lines 36-50 that the controller (14) is operable to perform a plurality of tasks in parallel and performs one of said plurality of tasks when recording said captured image from said second medium to said first medium. Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera can transfer the image memory from the second memory to the first memory over the BUS (18). Furthermore, the control circuitry that performs signal processing and data compression can be operated simultaneously in order to increase the speed of the digital camera. Therefore, it is viewed that the camera can perform a plurality of tasks in parallel while transferring the image data from one memory to the other. Therefore, said controller (14) when performing a first task to record said captured image on a medium (35) which is selected as a subject of recording out of said plurality of media (34 and 35), is viewed by the examiner as

Art Unit: 2612

transferring the image data from memory (34) to memory (35). Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 5, Lines 1-2 that the memory cards are detachable. Therefore, it is inherent that the memory cards are connected into slots.

10: As for Claim 11, Claim 11 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 12, Since Claim 12 is substantively equivalent to Claim 11.

11: In regards to Claim 12, Nagasaki et al depicts in Figure 7 that the image compression function can utilize a local memory (7) while compressing the data. Furthermore, the data compression (32) can be performed while image data is transferred under control of the CPU (14) from memory (34) to memory (35).

12: As for Claim 17, Claim 17 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 18, Since Claim 18 is substantively equivalent to Claim 17.

13: In regards to Claim 18, Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 5-13 and Column 10, Lines 36-50 and depicts in Figure 1 that the predetermined processing can be image processing, data compression or digital video processing predetermined processing is image processing on an image recorded on a medium which is not said subject of recording.

14: As for Claim 19, Claim 19 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 20, Since Claim 20 is substantively equivalent to Claim 19.

15: In regards to Claim 20, Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 5-13 and Column 10, Lines 36-50 and depicts in Figure 1 that the predetermined processing can be data compression said image processing is compression processing on said image.

16: In regards to Claim 24, Nagasaki et al depicts in Figure 1 and teaches on Column 4, Lines 20-35, Column 5, Lines 12-27, Column 7, Lines 16-26 a digital camera capable of loading

Art Unit: 2612

media, comprising: a temporary memory (17) for storing a captured image generated in response to a photographing operation; a first slot capable of loading a first medium (35) for recording and storing said captured image; a second slot capable of loading a second medium (34) for recoding and storing said captured image; and a controller (12) for, when recording said captured image from said temporary memory (17) to said first medium (35) on the condition that said second medium (34) has a higher recording speed than said first medium (35), recording said captured image once from said temporary memory (17) to said second medium (34) and then from said second medium (34) to said first medium (35). The three-state buffer (17) is viewed by the examiner as a temporary memory. Nagasaki et al teaches that the image captured by the image sensor is sent to the buffer memory (17) and is then sent to memory (34); which is viewed by the examiner as the second memory. Thereafter, the CPU transfers the image data from the second memory (34) to the first memory (35) over the BUS (18). Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera has an I/O port used to transmit and receive image data to and from an external device; Column 11, Lines 22-40. Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 5-13 and Column 10, Lines 36-50 that the controller (14) is operable to perform a plurality of tasks in parallel and performs one of said plurality of tasks when recording said captured image from said second medium to said first medium. Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera can transfer the image memory from the second memory to the first memory over the BUS (18). Furthermore, the control circuitry that performs signal processing and data compression can be operated simultaneously in order to increase the speed of the digital camera. Therefore, it is viewed that the camera can perform a plurality of tasks in parallel while transferring the image data from one memory to the other. Nagasaki et al teaches that the image data can be transferred to a computer and does not teach that the camera

Art Unit: 2612

can be directly connected to another camera so that the image data can be transferred to another camera.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

17: Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,153,730 Nagasaki et al in view of USPN 5,067,029 Takahashi.

18: As for Claim 5, Claim 5 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 6, Since Claim 6 is substantively equivalent to Claim 5.

19: In regards to Claim 6, Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 5, Lines 12-27 the use of a first memory and a second memory. Nagasaki et al teaches that the second memory (34) can be SRAM. However, Nagasaki et al teaches that the first memory is EEPROM and does not teach that the first memory can employ magnetic recording.

Takahashi teaches on Column 2, Lines 35-41 and Column 1, Lines 51-56 that it is advantageous when designing a digital camera to use several types of storage medium including an optical storage medium and a magnetic storage medium. This is advantageous because it allows a user to record image data on a recording medium that is compatible with different types of electrical equipment.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the EEPROM memory of Nagasaki et al with a magnetic

Art Unit: 2612

recording medium in order to allow a user to record image data on a recording medium that is compatible with different types of electrical equipment.

20: Claims 15, 16 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,153,730 Nagasaki et al.

21: As for Claim 15, Claim 15 is rejected for reasons related to Claim 16, Since Claim 16 is substantively equivalent to Claim 15.

22: In regards to Claim 16, Nagasaki et al does not teach that the predetermined processing is printing. However, official notice is taken that it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made to allow cameras to output image data to printers in order to generate a hard copy of a desired captured image.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the camera of Nagasaki et al to output the image data to a printer in order to generate a hard copy of a desired captured image.

23: As for Claim 25, Nagasaki et al does not teach that the external device can be a printer. However, official notice is taken that it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made to allow cameras to output image data to printers in order to generate a hard copy of a desired captured image.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the camera of Nagasaki et al to output the image data to a printer in order to generate a hard copy of a desired captured image.

24: Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5,153,730 Nagasaki et al in view of USPN 6,584,893 Satoh et al.

Art Unit: 2612

25: As for Claim 21, Nagasaki et al depicts in Figure 1 and teaches on Column 4, Lines 20-35, Column 5, Lines 12-27, Column 7, Lines 16-26 a digital camera capable of loading media, comprising: a temporary memory (17) for storing a captured image generated in response to a photographing operation; a first slot capable of loading a first medium (35) for recording and storing said captured image; a second slot capable of loading a second medium (34) for recoding and storing said captured image; and a controller (12) for, when recording said captured image from said temporary memory (17) to said first medium (35) on the condition that said second medium (34) has a higher recording speed than said first medium (35), recording said captured image once from said temporary memory (17) to said second medium (34) and then from said second medium (34) to said first medium (35). The three-state buffer (17) is viewed by the examiner as a temporary memory. Nagasaki et al teaches that the image captured by the image sensor is sent to the buffer memory (17) and is then sent to memory (34); which is viewed by the examiner as the second memory. Thereafter, the CPU transfers the image data from the second memory (34) to the first memory (35) over the BUS (18). Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera has a I/O port Used to transmit and receive image data; Column 11, Lines 22-40 to and from an external device. Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 5-13 and Column 10, Lines 36-50 that the controller (14) is operable to perform a plurality of tasks in parallel and performs one of said plurality of tasks when recording said captured image from said second medium to said first medium. Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera can transfer the image memory from the second memory to the first memory over the BUS (18). Furthermore, the control circuitry that performs signal processing and data compression can be operated simultaneously in order to increase the speed of the digital camera. Therefore, it is viewed that the camera can perform a plurality of

Art Unit: 2612

tasks in parallel while transferring the image data from one memory to the other. Nagasaki et al teaches that the image data can be transferred to a computer and does not teach that the camera can be directly connected to another camera so that the image data can be transferred to another camera.

Sotoh et al teaches on Column 9, lines 50-60 and depict in Figure 1 a digital camera system in which digital images can be transferred using an I/O port from one camera to another.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the camera of Nagasaki et al to connect to another Digital camera over Its I/O port in order to allow image data to be directly transferred from one camera to another.

26: In regards to Claim 22, Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 11, Lines 22-40 the digital camera comprises a medium (34 and 35) for recording a captured image, and said controller (17) in said first digital camera performs a second task while performing a first task. Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 5-13 and Column 10, Lines 36-50 that the controller (14) is operable to perform a plurality of tasks in parallel and performs one of said plurality of tasks when recording said captured image from said second medium to said first medium. Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera can transfer the image memory from the second memory to the first memory over the BUS (18). Furthermore, the control circuitry that performs signal processing and data compression can be operated simultaneously in order to increase the speed of the digital camera.

27: As for Claim 23, Nagasaki et al teaches the digital camera further comprises first (35) and second (34) media for recording captured image, and said controller (14) in said first digital

Art Unit: 2612

camera performs said first task to record a captured image on said first medium (35) and performs said second task to transmit said captured image recorded on said second medium to said second digital camera. Nagasaki et al teaches on Column 6, Lines 5-13 and Column 10, Lines 36-50 that the controller (14) is operable to perform a plurality of tasks in parallel and performs one of said plurality of tasks when recording said captured image from said second medium to said first medium. Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera can transfer the image memory from the second memory to the first memory over the BUS (18). Furthermore, the control circuitry that performs signal processing and data compression can be operated simultaneously in order to increase the speed of the digital camera. Therefore, it is viewed that the camera can perform a plurality of tasks in parallel while transferring the image data from one memory to the other. Nagasaki et al teaches that the camera has an I/O port used to transmit and receive image data to and from an external device; Column 11, Lines 22-40.

Allowable Subject Matter

28: Claims 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 4,720,744 Washi et al teaches the use of a television system that uses a plurality of memories; US 2002/0136293 Washino teaches the of a video production system that uses both a Hard disk drive and a tape drive; USPN 5,682,208 Harney teaches the use of a video distribution system that can perform parallel processing; USPN 5,563,655 Lathrop teaches the

Art Unit: 2612

use of a intelligent digital camera that has a plurality of recording media; USPN 5,640,203 Wakui teaches the of a digital camera that has a plurality of slots to receive a plurality of memory cards; USPN 5,734,425 Takizawa et al teaches the use of a camera that has a communications circuit to connect to an external device a buffer memory and a storage memory; USPN 6,727,944 Adachi teaches the use of a digital camera that has a plurality of slots to receive a plurality of memory cards; US 2004/0051785 Yokonuma et al teaches the use of an electronic camera having a communications function that can communicate with another digital camera, as seen in Figure 2; USPN 5,986,700 Wakui teaches the use of a digital camera that has a plurality of slots to receive a plurality of memory cards.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hannett whose telephone number is 703-305-7880. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 5:00 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 703-305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


James M. Hannett

Application/Control Number: 09/739,141
Art Unit: 2612

Page 13

Examiner
Art Unit 2612

JMH
June 7, 2004


WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600